For the Northern District of California

v.

NOT FOR CITATION
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

\*E-FILED: 8.22.2007\*

PIOTR J. GARDIAS, No. C04-04086 HRL

Plaintiff, Consolidated With: C04-04768 HRL

C05-01242 HRL C05-01833 HRL C06-04695 HRL

SAN JOSE STATE UNIVERSITY,

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND
DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

[Re: Docket No. 266]

On August 13, 2007, plaintiff filed a "Motion to Extent [sic] Time To Answer

Defendant's Interrogatories and Produce Any Documents in Plaintiff's Possession." Although
it is not clear, plaintiff apparently is referring to this court's August 8, 2007 order granting
defendant's motion to compel. In that order, Gardias was directed to serve his responses to
defendant's interrogatories and related document requests by August 17, 2007. He now
requests (a) a two-week extension to serve his discovery requests; and (b) an order shifting the
associated discovery costs to defendant.

Defendant having confirmed that it has no objection, plaintiff's request for an extension of time is granted. Plaintiff now has until **August 31, 2007** to serve his interrogatory answers and documents.

However, plaintiff's request to have defendant bear his costs in responding to this
discovery is denied. This court has already found that the discovery requests in question are
legitimate. Plaintiff has made no showing that they are unreasonably excessive or that any
burden imposed is undue. See Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(b)(2)(C); see also OpenTV v. Liberate Techs.
219 F.R.D. 474 (N.D. Cal. 2003).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 22, 2007

1	A copy of this document mailed to:
2	Piotr J. Gardias 72 Floyd Street
3	72 Floyd Street San Jose, CA 95110
4	Plaintiff (Pro Se)
5	
6	Notice electronically mailed to:
7	Mary Susan Cain-Simon Mary.CainSimon@doj.ca.gov, David.Moss@doj.ca.gov
8	Fiel D. Tigno fiel.tigno@doj.ca.gov
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	